

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE , United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,072	02/26/2004	Yohsuke Ishii	MEI-101	3877	
24956 7590 01/22/2007 MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			EXAMINER		
			DARNO, PATRICK A		
SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2163		
		•			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/786,072	ISHII ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Patrick A. Darno	2163	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Patrick A. Darno</u> .	(3) Etienne LeRoux.		
(2) <u>Daniel J. Stanger</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 January 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	e) ☐ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 2, 5, 7, and 17</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. Patent Application</u> (Kavalam), 2003/0041088 (Wilson), and 2004/0153552 (Tr	Publication Numbers 2004/0 ossen). U.S. Patent Number	254934 (Ho), 200 5,260,551 (Wiik)	05/0091658
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ I	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUL A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that val.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	greed would rendowould render the E SUBSTANCE (y been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Latile Examiner's sign	nature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

As noted above, claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 17 were discussed in this interview. Furthermore, the Examiner clearly explained why each reference was chosen in the rejection of claim 1. Briefly, the Ho reference discloses using access control lists (ACLs) to protect computer resources, the Wiik reference discloses interception of access using 'black-lists', and the Kavalam reference was used solely to provide additional suggestion to combine the Ho and Wiik references. Finally, as discussed in the interview, if prosecution of the application continues, the rejections of claims 2 and 17 will be given further consideration, taking into account the conversation which took place between Examiner Darno and Mr. Stanger.